

# ECE 3065 Homework 8: S-parameters

## Solutions

1. The equivalent load as seen at port 1 is  $100\Omega$ , leading to a reflection coefficient of  $s_{11} = +\frac{1}{3}$ . The equivalent load as seen at port 2 is  $25\Omega$ , leading to a reflection coefficient of  $s_{11} = -\frac{1}{3}$ . To get  $s_{21}$ , start with the wave solution on the transmission line:

$$\tilde{v}(z) = K (\exp(-j\beta z) + \gamma \exp(+j\beta z))$$

where  $\gamma = -\frac{1}{3}$ . On the left-hand side of the line, we evaluate this expression at  $z = -\frac{\lambda}{4}$  and enforce the boundary condition:

$$\begin{aligned} V_1^+ + V_1^- &= \tilde{v}(z)|_{z=-\frac{\lambda}{4}} \\ (1 + s_{11})V_1^+ &= K(-j + (-\frac{1}{3})j) \\ \frac{4}{3}V_1^+ &= -j\frac{4}{3}K \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $K = jV_1^+$ . Evaluating at the end of the line,  $\tilde{v}(0)$ , we get:

$$\tilde{v}(0) = K(1 - \frac{1}{3}) = j\frac{2}{3}V_1^+$$

which is the voltage exiting port 2,  $V_2^-$ . We then know that  $s_{21} = j\frac{2}{3}$ .

Now let's try to find  $s_{12}$ . This is not a symmetrical circuit, so we cannot simply equate the result for  $s_{21}$ . Instead we note that the transmission line is essentially matched to a  $50\Omega$  load at its end when viewed from port 2. Thus, there will *only* be a forward-propagating voltage on the line and this is equivalent to the voltage  $V_1^-$  that exits port 1. The boundary condition, therefore, is much easier to enforce:

$$\text{Amplitude of wave into T-Line at Port 2} = (1 + s_{22})V_2^+ = \frac{2}{3}V_2^+$$

We are not quite finished as this is not really  $s_{12}$  until we account for the quarter-wavelength phase change as the signal propagates down the line. This introduces a  $-90^\circ$  phase change, so that the actual value of  $s_{12} = -j\frac{2}{3}$ . The final S-matrix, in summary, is written below:

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & -j\frac{2}{3} \\ j\frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

2. (a) By definition, a port's  $s$ -parameter is measured with a  $50\Omega$  "dummy" load connected to all of the other ports. The two port matrix of the new device with port 3 connected to a  $50\Omega$  load is just the truncation of the original S-matrix:

$$S' = \begin{bmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} \\ s_{21} & s_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) Start with the definition of a 3-port S-matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_1^- \\ V_2^- \\ V_3^- \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} & s_{13} \\ s_{21} & s_{22} & s_{23} \\ s_{31} & s_{32} & s_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1^+ \\ V_2^+ \\ V_3^+ \end{bmatrix}$$

If port 3 is open, then the reflected coefficient back into the device is +1, implying that  $V_3^+ = V_3^-$ . From the S-matrix, we can write

$$V_3^+ = V_3^- = s_{31}V_1^+ + s_{32}V_2^+ + s_{33}V_3^+$$

Solving for  $V_3^+$ , we find that

$$V_3^+ = -\frac{1}{1 - s_{33}} [s_{31}V_1^+ + s_{32}V_2^+]$$

Now let us rewrite the top two columns of the S-matrix:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} V_1^- \\ V_2^- \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} & s_{13} \\ s_{21} & s_{22} & s_{23} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1^+ \\ V_2^+ \\ V_3^+ \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} \\ s_{21} & s_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1^+ \\ V_2^+ \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} s_{13} \\ s_{23} \end{bmatrix} V_3^+ \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} \\ s_{21} & s_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1^+ \\ V_2^+ \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{1 - s_{33}} \begin{bmatrix} s_{13} \\ s_{23} \end{bmatrix} [s_{31} \quad s_{32}] \begin{bmatrix} V_1^+ \\ V_2^+ \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} \\ s_{21} & s_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1^+ \\ V_2^+ \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{1 - s_{33}} \begin{bmatrix} s_{13}s_{31} & s_{13}s_{32} \\ s_{23}s_{31} & s_{23}s_{32} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1^+ \\ V_2^+ \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \underbrace{\left( \begin{bmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} \\ s_{21} & s_{22} \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{1 - s_{33}} \begin{bmatrix} s_{13}s_{31} & s_{13}s_{32} \\ s_{23}s_{31} & s_{23}s_{32} \end{bmatrix} \right)}_{S'} \begin{bmatrix} V_1^+ \\ V_2^+ \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

(c) This one is the trickiest. Start with the last two rows of a 3-port S-matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_2^- \\ V_3^- \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} s_{21} & s_{22} & s_{23} \\ s_{31} & s_{32} & s_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1^+ \\ V_2^+ \\ V_3^+ \end{bmatrix}$$

When port 2 and 3 are connected together, the outgoing wave from port 2 becomes an input for port 3 and vice versa. We can write this as:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} V_3^+ \\ V_2^+ \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} s_{21} & s_{22} & s_{23} \\ s_{31} & s_{32} & s_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1^+ \\ V_2^+ \\ V_3^+ \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} V_2^+ \\ V_3^+ \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} s_{31} \\ s_{21} \end{bmatrix} V_1^+ + \begin{bmatrix} s_{32} & s_{33} \\ s_{22} & s_{23} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_2^+ \\ V_3^+ \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Solving for a single pair of  $V_2^+$  and  $V_3^+$  gives

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_2^+ \\ V_3^+ \end{bmatrix} = \left( \mathbf{I} - \begin{bmatrix} s_{32} & s_{33} \\ s_{22} & s_{23} \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} s_{31} \\ s_{21} \end{bmatrix} V_1^+$$

where  $\mathbf{I}$  is a  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix. Returning to the top parameter of the original S-matrix:

$$\begin{aligned} V_1^- &= s_{11}V_1^+ + [s_{12} \ s_{13}] \begin{bmatrix} V_2^+ \\ V_3^+ \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \underbrace{\left( s_{11} + [s_{12} \ s_{13}] \left( \mathbf{I} - \begin{bmatrix} s_{32} & s_{33} \\ s_{22} & s_{23} \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} s_{31} \\ s_{21} \end{bmatrix} \right)}_{s'_{11}} V_1^+ \end{aligned}$$

Of course, this  $s'_{11}$  is all we need to characterize a single-port device (this is a “ $1 \times 1$ ” scattering matrix). For anyone with the intestinal fortitude to work through the algebra without having a psychotic episode, this is the answer you would have found:

$$s'_{11} = s_{11} + \frac{s_{12}s_{31} - s_{12}s_{31}s_{23} - s_{12}s_{33}s_{21} + s_{13}s_{21} - s_{13}s_{21}s_{32} - s_{13}s_{22}s_{31}}{1 - s_{32} - s_{23} + s_{32}s_{23}}$$

Although these sorts of games can get very tricky and algebraic, they illustrate an important point: characterizing the  $s$ -parameters allows an engineer to predict the behavior of an altered-state device without having to re-measure the device or understand its inner-workings.